

# TRIGGERS

Rating **C**

Outlook	Stable
Label	Defence
Total Supply	100,000,000
In Circulation	32,105,578

## Score

### TEAM



### PROJECT



### ECOSYSTEM



## Analyst

Qing Liu

data@tokeninsight.com

tokeninsight.com

06 Sept 2018

## Risk

Blocksafe aims to build a Blockchain solution for Smartgun management. Triggers is the token that powers the Blocksafe network economy. The project is not open-source and has disclosed little information. Plus, the project is not backed by a very strong team. Its technology infrastructure is unclear and its economic model is arguably over-simplified.

## Summary

### Team

#### Co-Founder and CEO, Kevin Barnes

- 2018 - Present: Chairman of Board at Blocksafe Holding Inc
- 2018 - Present: Advisor at Laser.xyz
- 2017 - Present: Co-Founder at Blocksafe Alliance

#### Co-Founder, Christopher Samples

- 2018 - Present: Co-Founder at Blocksafe Alliance
- 2017 - Present: Vice President Of Business Development at Blocksafe Foundation
- 2011 - 2016: Lead Technician of Charter Communications

### Project

#### Blocksafe

Blocksafe aims to provide a solution to the current gun safety debate, and make the network self sustainable in the sense that devices and decentralized applications (DApps) have built in wallets and an integrated consensus engine.

#### Trigger Token (TRIG)

Allocation: 10% development, 10% marketing, 10% founders, 30% locked with foundation, 40% foundation.

### Ecosystem

**Market Cap:** \$ 5,063,704

**Ranking:** 481

#### Token Incentive Mechanism

Triggers are doled out as rewards for verifying consensus demands. Tokens are based on Counterparty technology (by using Counterparty, Triggers can run on the Bitcoin).

#### Popularity and Users

The number of Twitter followers is 26,376, and there is no open-source code now.

AAA: The technical foundation is extremely solid and project risk is extremely low.

AA: The technical foundation is very solid and project risk is very low.

A: The technical foundation is solid and project risk is relatively low.

BBB: Technical feasibility is very good and project risk is controllable.

BB: Technical feasibility is good and the risk of the project is moderately controllable.

B: Technical feasibility is moderate and project risk is limited to a controllable extent.

CCC: The technical foundation or idea has certain problems and the project has relatively large risks.

CC: The technical foundation or idea has considerable problems and the project carries large risks.

C: The technical foundation or idea has substantial problems and the project suffers from extremely large risks.

D: The project is riddled with problems and carries an extremely high risk of failure.

TokenInsight only conducts evaluations based on quality and risk for the token projects examined, and does not make evaluations with regards to investment or valuation. For this reason, TokenInsight reports do not function as a reference for token investment.